



Albemarle RPO Board Meetings

Wednesday, July 26, 2017 Dare County Administration Building, Room 238, 954 Marshall C Collins Dr. Manteo NC 27954

Technical Coordinating Committee: Starts at 11:00 AM

- | | |
|--|------------------------|
| 1. Call to Order | Rhett White, TCC Chair |
| 2. Roll Call | Rhett White, TCC Chair |
| 3. Agenda Approval | Rhett White, TCC Chair |
| 4. Approval/ Adoption of Minutes from Last Meeting | Rhett White, TCC Chair |
| 5. P 5.0 Methodology final approval
Approval | Rhett White, TCC Chair |
| 6. P 5.0 Project list final approval
Approval | Rhett White, TCC Chair |
| 7. Passenger ferry tolling resolution
Approval | Rhett White, TCC Chair |
| 8. Public Comments | Rhett White, TCC Chair |
| 9. Adjournment | Rhett White, TCC Chair |

Lunch and Presentations

NCDOT update
TPB update
ARPO update

Transportation Advisory Committee: Starts at 1 PM

- | | |
|--|--------------------------|
| 1. Call to Order | Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair |
| 2. Roll Call | Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair |
| 3. Agenda Approval | Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair |
| 4. Approval/ Adoption of Minutes from Last Meeting | Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair |
| 5. P 5.0 Methodology final approval
Approval | Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair |
| 6. P 5.0 Project list final approval
Approval | Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair |
| 7. Passenger ferry tolling resolution
Approval | Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair |
| 8. Public Comments | Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair |
| 9. Adjournment | Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair |

Minutes of the Rural Technical Coordinating Committee (RTCC) Meeting
April 26, 2017
11:00 a.m.

Call to Order

The April 26, 2017 RTCC meeting was held at the College of the Albemarle Culinary Arts Building, in Edenton, NC and was opened and called to order by RTCC Chairman Rhett White at 11:07 a.m.

Roll Call

It was determined a quorum was present with the following TCC members in attendance: Chairman Rhett White, Town of Columbia; Michael Brillhart, Camden County; Kevin Howard, Chowan County; Dan Scanlon, Currituck County; Donna Creef, Dare County; Natalie Rountree, Gates County; Bill Rich, Hyde County; Frank Heath, Perquimans County; Curtis Potter, Washington County; Andy Stewart, Town of Kitty Hawk; Joe Heard, Town of Duck; Kaitlen Alcock, City of Elizabeth City; Wes Haskett, Town of Southern Shores; J.D. Melton, Town of Creswell; Jerry Jennings, NCDOT Division 1

Agenda Approval

Chairman White called for a motion to approve the agenda. A motion to approve was made by Donna Creef and seconded by Bill Rich, and unanimously carried.

Approval of January 25 , 2017 RTCC Minutes

Chairman White called for a motion to accept the minutes as presented. A motion to approve the minutes was made by Donna Creef; her motion was seconded by Bill Rich, and unanimously carried.

ARPO Mini-Grant Applications

The ARPO Director shared information regarding the Mini-Grant Application which had been received. It was reported that one mini-grant application was received from the Town of Manteo in the amount of \$1,120 and one from the City of Elizabeth City, Parks & Recreation Department in the amount of \$3,785. Both mini-grants application requests were for printing and folding Bike and Pedestrian Maps. It was noted that there is \$16,000 available for the approval/funding of mini-grants. A motion to approve both mini-grant applications was made by Natalie Rountree; her motion was seconded by Joe Heard, and unanimously carried.

NCGA Legislation

The ARPO Director shared the North Carolina General Assembly Update. She stated that the first piece of legislation is the RTPO/Establish Attendance Policy. The ARPO Director shared staff concerns with the bill. The ARPO Director shared the second piece of legislation, Transportation Megaproject Funding. It was noted that it appears as if this will be approved by the house. After discussion, a motion was made by Bill Rich to resend the letter agreed to at the January 25, 2017 RTCC meeting to the delegation in Raleigh, in order to restate the RTCC's position on mega project funding; his motion was seconded by Frank Heath, and unanimously carried.

The ARPO Director shared staff concerns with the third piece of legislation, STI/Regional & Division Weighting. Jerry Jennings reported that this does not have a significant impact on the Region. The ARPO Director shared concerns with the fourth piece of legislation regarding Ferry Transportation Authority. It was noted that this piece of legislation does not affect the State Ferry System. It was agreed that the ARPO Director will keep the Board informed of any legislative progress.

Project List & Local Input Methodology

The ARPO Director provided the Board with an explanation of the Methodology. Jerry Jennings provided the DOT perspective and how the DOT will provide more education through the process. A motion was made by Dan Scanlon to tentatively approve the Methodology; his motion was seconded by Frank Heath, and unanimously carried. The ARPO Director discussed the Project List. It was agreed that two informational meetings will be held in June, one north of the sound and one south of the sound, to further discuss the projects. A motion was made by Donna Creef to tentatively approve the project list; her motion was seconded by Bill Rich, and unanimously carried.

Public Comments

Chairman White opened the floor for public comments and there being no public comments closed the floor.

Adjournment

With no further business to discuss, Chairman White adjourned the meeting at 11:53 pm.

Lunch and Presentations

NCDOT Update – Discussion/Presentation

Malcom Fearing – Presentation

Allan Moran - Introduction

TPB Update

ARPO Update

Minutes of the Rural Technical Coordinating Committee (RTAC) Meeting

April 26, 2017

1:00 pm

Call to Order

The April 26, 2017 RTAC meeting was held at the College of the Albemarle Culinary Arts Building, in Edenton, NC and was opened and called to order by RTAC Chairman Lloyd Griffin at 12:50 pm.

Roll Call

It was determined a quorum was present with the following RTAC members in attendance: Chairman Lloyd Griffin, Pasquotank County; Jeff Smith, Chowan County; Bill Sexton, Washington County; Paul Beaumont, Currituck County; Vice-Chairman Leroy Spivey, Tyrrell County; Ben

Simmons, Hyde County; Edward Muzzulin, Perquimans County; Tom White, Camden County; Malcolm Fearing, NCDOT BOT and Allan Moran, NCDOT BOT.

RTAC Non-Voting members in attendance: John Ratzenberger, Town of Nags Head; Tom Bennett, Town of Southern Shores; Lynne McClean, Town of Kitty Hawk.

Alternates in attendance: Patti Kersey, Chowan County;

Agenda Approval

Chairman Griffin called for a motion to approve the agenda. RPO Planner requested that a resolution in support of Alligator River Bridge/US64 project be added to the agenda. A motion to approve the agenda with the addition of the resolution was made by Bill Sexton and seconded by Jeff Smith, and unanimously carried.

Approval of January 25, 2017 RTAC Minutes

Chairman Griffin called for a motion to accept the minutes as presented. A motion to approve the minutes as presented was made by Tom White; his motion was seconded by Jeff Smith, and unanimously carried.

ARPO Mini-Grant Applications

The ARPO Director shared information regarding the Mini-Grant Application which had been received. It was reported that one mini-grant application was received from the Town of Manteo in the amount of \$1,120 and one from the City of Elizabeth City, Parks & Recreation Department in the amount of \$3,785. Both mini-grants application requests were for printing and folding Bike and Pedestrian Maps. It was noted that there is \$16,000 available for the approval/funding of mini-grants. A motion to approve both mini-grant applications was made by Leroy Spivey; his motion was seconded by Tom White, and unanimously carried.

NCGA Legislation

The ARPO Director shared the North Carolina General Assembly Update. She stated that the first piece of legislation is the RTPO/Establish Attendance Policy. The ARPO Director shared staff concerns with the bill. The ARPO Director shared the second piece of legislation, Transportation Megaproject Funding. It was noted that it appears as if this will be approved by the house. It was noted that staff restate the RTAC's position on mega-project funding, and an RPO or Division 1 individual be appointed to the working group, to the delegation in Raleigh. After discussion, a motion was made by Jeff Smith to resend the letter agreed to at the January 26, 2017 restating the RTAC's position to the delegation in Raleigh; his motion was seconded by Tom White, and unanimously carried.

The ARPO Director shared staff concerns with the third piece of legislation, STI/Regional & Division Weighting. Jerry Jennings reported that this does not have a significant impact on the Region. The ARPO Director shared concerns with the fourth piece of legislation regarding Ferry Transportation Authority. It was noted that this piece of legislation does not affect the State Ferry System. It was noted that the ARPO Director will keep the Board informed of any legislative progress.

Project List & Local Input Methodology

The ARPO Director provided the Board with an explanation of the Methodology. Jerry Jennings provided the DOT perspective and how the DOT will provide more education through the process. A motion was made by Ben Simmons to tentatively approve the Methodology; his motion was seconded by Leroy Spivey, and unanimously carried. The ARPO Director discussed the Project List. It was agreed that two informational meetings will be held in June, one north of the sound and one south of the sound, to further discuss the projects. A motion was made by Leroy Spivey to tentatively approve the project list; his motion was seconded by Ben Simmons, and unanimously carried.

ADDITION to AGENDA – Resolution in Support of the Alligator River Bridge/US64 Project

The RTAC discussed the Alligator River Bridge and US64 project. After discussion, as well as an update and clarification regarding the entire US64 project score, and possible bridge replacement, it was decided that the RTAC would continue to monitor the traffic on US 64 through updates from the Division 1 Engineer.

Public Comments

Mr. Griffin opened the floor for public comments and there being none, closed the floor.

Adjournment

With no further business to discuss, Chairman Griffin adjourned the meeting at 1:30 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Sandra Powers
Albemarle Commission
Program Administrative Coordinator

For

Angela Welsh, Secretary
ARPO Director



Agenda Item No. 5

Item Title: Final approval of Local Input Methodology

Item Summary: Session Law 2012-84 requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Rural Planning Organizations to develop a ranking process for highway, bicycle/pedestrian, public transit, aviation, rail and ferry projects. The ranking process must be data driven and include a combination of quantitative data and qualitative and local input.

Specific action requested: Final approval of Local Input Methodology

Number of attachments: 1

The attached Local Input Methodology is the same Local Input Methodology approved by the RTAC Board in January of 2016. We are not required to change our local Input Methodology unless the RTAC Board would like to do so. At our April 26, 2016 meeting, the RTAC did not make any changes to the Local Input Methodology from January 2016 and voted to tentatively approve it.

A 30 day public comment period, for the Local Input Methodology took place on May 1- May 31 of 2017 and staff did not received any comments.



#

ARPO Prioritization 5.0 Methodology

(Note: On April 26, 2017, the ARPO RTAC Board tentatively approved this Methodology which is the same Methodology used in Prioritization 4.0)

Session Law 2012-84 requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Rural Planning Organizations (MPO's and RPO's) to develop a ranking process for highway, bicycle/pedestrian, public transit, aviation, rail and ferry projects. The ranking process must be data driven and include a combination of quantitative data and qualitative and local input. The following process applies to all projects ranked as "regional" and "division" funding in the counties of Currituck, Camden, Gates, Pasquotank, Perquimans, Chowan, Washington, Tyrrell, Hyde and Dare. Funding levels are as defined in the 2013 Strategic Transportation Investment Law. Following is a timeline for project solicitation, project ranking process and ARPO point assignment. These dates are subject to change as we work through this process.

Time Frame	Description	Action
March- Mid-April 2017	Solicit new projects. If new projects exceed SPOT's cap for new projects, the TCC will make a recommendation to the TAC at the July 26 meeting.	RPO Staff
April 26, 2017	TAC finalizes and tentatively approves project list TAC finalizes and tentatively approves Local Input Methodology	TCC/TAC
May 1- May 31	Project list and Local Input Methodology released for 30 day public comment period.	RPO Staff
July 26, 2017	Public Hearing- Final approval of project list and final approval of Local Input Methodology	TCC/TAC
August 2017	Projects entered into SPOT On!ine	RPO Staff
November –December 2017	Score Regional and Division projects based on Local Input methodology	RPO Staff
January 2018	TAC tentatively approves Regional and Division Local Input methodology project scores	TCC/TAC
February 1- March 2 2018	Regional and Division Local Input Methodology scores released for 30 day public comment period	RPO Staff
March 2018	TIP Unit programs Statewide projects	NCDOT
April 2018	Public Hearing- Final approval of Regional and Division Local Input Methodology project scores and assign local input points to regional projects.	TAC
May 2018	Regional local input points entered into SPOT On!ine	RPO Staff
August 2018	Review regional scores with TAC and assign final Division local input points.	TAC
September 2018	Division scores entered into SPOT On!ine	RPO Staff
January 2019	Draft STIP released	NCDOT

During the months of March through Mid-April of 2017, the ARPO started soliciting projects from local government Managers and Planners who, in turn, solicited projects from organizations and the public in their respective communities.

On April 26, 2017, the results of the project solicitation will be reviewed by the Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) and then be presented to the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) for tentative approval. If new projects exceed the maximum number allowed, the TAC will choose which projects to submit based

on recommendations from NCDOT Division 1, TCC, and RPO staff. The process and point assignment methods will also be reviewed by the TCC and presented to the TAC, for tentative approval, at their April meeting. The methods described herein are subject to change based on the public comment process described later in this document.

The project list and Local Input Methodology will be released for a 30 day public comment period in May of 2017 and a Public Hearing for final approval of the project list and Local Input Methodology will be held on July 26, 2017. In August of 2017, ARPO staff will submit new projects to NCDOT through the SPOT Online system.

In January of 2018, TAC members will meet and tentatively approve the Local Input Methodology Regional and Division scores. The Local Input Methodology Regional and Division scores will be released for a 30 day public comment period on February 1, 2018. In April of 2018, the TAC will hold a Public hearing for final approval of both the Regional and Division scores and the TAC will assign local input points to Regional projects. During this time, results of the public comment period will also be reviewed and considered by the TAC prior to approving the final Local Input Methodology Regional and Division scores.

In August of 2018, the TAC will meet to discuss the SPOT finalized Regional scores and assign final Division local input points to projects.

In January of 2018, the NCDOT will release the Draft STIP.

Public Input process

Methodology

This methodology will be tentatively approved by the TCC and TAC at their October 2015 meeting. Once approved by the TAC, the RPO will release the draft methodology for a 30-day public comment period. This comment period will be advertised on the RPO website at www.albemarlecommission.org/planning/ and via local media. The results of the public comment period will be presented to the TCC and TAC at their January 2016 meeting where the public will also be able to submit comments. All public comments will be documented and reasonable edits to the methodology may be made prior to TAC approval and submittal to the SPOT office. All public comments will be documented, filed by the RPO and distributed to local entities to consider for future prioritization processes and transportation plans. No new projects will be added to the Prioritization 4.0 list due to the fact the NCDOT deadline for submitting new projects will have passed.

Project ranking

The RPO will present the recommended point assignments and scores of all projects to the TCC and TAC at their March 2016 meetings. Once approved by the TAC, the RPO will release the recommended projects and point assignments for a 30-day public comment period. This comment period will be advertised on the RPO website www.albemarlecommission.org/planning/ and via local media. The results of the public comment period will be presented to the TCC and TAC at their May 2016 meetings where the public will also be able to submit comments and all public comments will be documented. In May 2016, the TAC will be asked to approve the project list and final point assignments. Once complete, the list and points assignments will be available on the RPO website.

Ranking Process

Division level

Projects involving SR routes, bicycle and pedestrian transportation, transit, airports and ferry vessels are evaluated at the Division level. The Albemarle Rural Planning Organization receives 1300 local allocation points at the Division level. Once all projects are scored using the methodology described below, the ARPO staff will develop a ranked list of projects within each county and within the RPO as a whole based on the outcome of the scoring. This ranked list will be used to develop the recommended point assignments that are presented to the public for comment and to the TCC and TAC for approval. The top scoring Division level project, within each county will be allocated 100 points to reach the ARPO's total allocation of 1300 points provided their quantitative score exceeds 10 points in the Division needs category. This promotes

geographic equity of projects. In the event that any counties do not have at least one Division level project, which meets the criteria above, one project from each mode which quantitative score exceeds 10 points in the Division needs category will be selected from the list of remaining projects within the RPO as a whole in order to reach the ARPO's allocation of 1300 points. Projects will be selected in the following order, Highway, Aviation, Ferry, Transit and Bike and Ped. Should two or more projects of the same or different modes tie, the Strategic Prioritization Office of Transportation (SPOT) score will be used as the tie-breaker. Since funding in the Division category is limited, Statewide or Regional projects, that cost more than \$20,000,000, that cascade down to the Division level will not be considered for Division qualitative points.

Division Level (Highways and ferries)

Criteria	0 points	5 points	10 points	15 points
Safety score	0-25	26-49	50-74	75-100
	Calculation based on the crash frequency and severity along sections of a particular roadway. This score is generated in the quantitative scoring process.			
Transportation Plan consistency	Project is not in STIP, CTP, or other locally adopted plan	Project will be incorporated into CTP or other locally adopted plan.		Project is in STIP, CTP, or other locally adopted plan.
	Is the proposed project part of an existing, or proposed, adopted Plan?			
Economic Development/ Employment access		Provides access within a 20 mile radius of an existing or proposed employment center* with 20 or more employees.	Provides access within a 15 mile radius of an existing or proposed employment center* with 100 or more employees.	Provides access within a 10 mile radius of an active industrial/business park or proposed new employment center* with more than 100 employees.
	Does the project provide direct connection to a downtown district, business district, government center, educational center healthcare center, and prison, military base or agricultural center? Must meet both distance and employment criteria to be eligible to receive points.			
Multimodal elements	Project does not incorporate or connect to facilities of another mode			Project incorporates or connects to facilities of another mode
	Does the project incorporate other modes of transportation (a sidewalk along a road etc.)?			
Existing deficiency	Existing facility/service available		Existing facility/service available, but contains gap with lower level of service/intermittent service	No existing facility/service available.
	Does the project address an existing gap in the transportation system?			
Roadway and shoulder width	Currently exceeds NCDOT minimum standards	Currently meets NCDOT standards		Currently does not meet NCDOT standards
	Does the current condition not meet, meet or exceed NCDOT minimum standards?			
Evacuation	The project is not an official NCDOT evacuation route			The project is an official NCDOT evacuation route
	Is the project part of an official NCDOT evacuation route?			

*An employment center is defined as a downtown district, business district, government center, educational center healthcare center, prison, military base, or agricultural center.

Division Level (bicycle and pedestrian transportation, transit, aviation)

Criteria	0 points	5 points	15 points	25 points
Transportation Plan consistency	Project is not in STIP, CTP, LCP, CTSP, ALP or other locally adopted plan	Project will be incorporated into CTP or other locally adopted plan. .		Project is in STIP, CTP, LCP, CTSP, ALP or other locally adopted plan.
	Is the proposed project part of an existing, or proposed, adopted Plan?			
Economic Development/ Employment access		Provides access within a 3 mile radius of a proposed or existing employment center* with more than 20 employees.	Provides access within a 2 mile radius of an existing or proposed employment center* with more than 100 employees.	Provides direct access within 1 mile radius of an active industrial/business park or proposed new employment center* with more than 100 employees.
	Does the project provide direct connection to a downtown district, business district, government center, educational center healthcare center, prison, or agricultural center?			
Multimodal elements	Project does not incorporate or connect to facilities of another mode			Project incorporates or connects to facilities of another mode
	Does the project incorporate other modes of transportation (a sidewalk along a road etc.)?			
Existing deficiency	Existing facility/service available		Existing facility/service available, but contains gap with lower level of service/intermittent service	No existing facility/service available.
	Does the project address an existing gap in the transportation system?			

*An employment center is defined as a downtown district, business district, government center, educational center, healthcare center, and prison, military base or agricultural center.

Regional level (NC Routes)

Projects involving NC routes are evaluated at the Regional level and the Albemarle Rural Planning Organization also receives 1300 points for these projects. Once all projects are scored using the methodology described below, the ARPO staff will develop a ranked list of projects within each county and within the RPO as a whole based on the outcome of the criteria below. This ranked list will be used to develop the recommended point assignments that are presented to the public for comment and to the TCC and TAC for approval. The top scoring Division level project, within each county will be allocated 100 points to reach the ARPO's total allocation of 1300 points provided their quantitative score exceeds 10 points in the Regional needs category. This promotes geographic equity of projects. In the event that any counties do not have at least one Regional level project, which meets the criteria above, one projects from each mode which quantitative score exceeds 10 points in the Regional needs category will be selected from the top of the list of remaining projects within the RPO as a whole in order to reach the ARPO's allocation of 1300 points. Should two or more projects of the same or different modes tie, the Strategic Prioritization Office of Transportation (SPOT) score will be used as the tie-breaker. Since funding in the Division category is limited, Statewide or Regional projects, that cost over 20,000,000, that cascade down to the Division level will not be considered for Division qualitative points.

Regional level (Highways and transit)

Criteria	0 points	5 points	10 points	15 points
Safety score	0-25	26-49	50-74	75-100
	Calculation based on the crash frequency and severity along sections of a particular roadway. This score is generated in the quantitative scoring process.			
Transportation Plan consistency	Project is not in STIP, CTP, or other locally adopted plan	Project will be incorporated into CTP or other locally adopted plan.		Project is in STIP, CTP, or other locally adopted plan.
	Is the proposed project part of an existing, or proposed, adopted Plan?			
Economic Development/ Employment access		Provides direct access within a 20 mile radius of an existing or proposed employment center* with more than 20 employees.	Provides direct access within a 15 mile radius of an existing or proposed employment center* with more than 100 employees.	Provides direct access within a 10 mile radius of an active industrial/business park or proposed new employment center* with more than 100 employees.
	Does the project provide connection to a downtown district, business district, government center, educational center healthcare center, military base, prison, or agricultural center? Must meet both distance and employment criteria to be eligible to receive points.			
Multimodal elements	Project does not incorporate or connect to facilities of another mode			Project incorporates or connects to facilities of another mode
	Does the project incorporate other modes of transportation (a sidewalk along a road etc.)?			
Existing deficiency	Existing facility/service available		Existing facility/service available, but contains gap with lower level of service/intermittent service	No existing facility/service available,
	Does the project address an existing gap in the transportation system?			
Roadway and shoulder width	Currently exceeds NCDOT minimum standards	Currently meets NCDOT standards		Currently does not meet NCDOT standards
	Does the current condition not meet, meet or exceed NCDOT minimum standards?			
Evacuation	The project is not an official NCDOT evacuation route			The project is an official NCDOT evacuation route
	Is the project part of an official NCDOT evacuation route?			

*An employment center is defined as a downtown district, business district, government center, educational center healthcare center, prison, military base, or agricultural center.

#

Any justification/rationale for local point assignment deviation from the TAC adopted and SPOT office approved Methodology by the TAC will be posted on the ARPO website for public inspection. Special consideration to deviate from the approved Methodology includes, but is not limited to, projects not being competitive in their respective categories, projects that are not far enough along in the planning process to warrant funding, projects that have strong local government support, and projects that have strong public support. Any deviation from the approved Methodology must be agreed upon by a majority of TCC and TAC members as outlined in the ARPO Bylaws. This allowance is envisioned as a safety net to provide local oversight to the data-driven process and to compensate for any peculiar scores where the prioritization methodology fails to operate as expected. Any local point assignment deviation from the methodology will be fully disclosed to the public and reason(s) why placed on the RPO website.

#



Agenda Item No. 6

Item Title: Tentative approval of project list

Item Summary: Session Law 2012-84 requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Rural Planning Organizations to develop a project list of all highway, bicycle/pedestrian, public transit, aviation, rail and ferry projects to be considered for funding.

Specific action requested: Final approval of project list

Number of attachments: 1

The P 5.0 project list is attached for your review. As directed at our April 26, 2016 meeting, staff held two workshops to discuss our projects and to add, revise, or delete them. The workshops were held in Elizabeth City and Manteo on June 8. There were two highway projects added, three bicycle and pedestrian projects were revised and one bicycle and pedestrian project added to the project list.

A 30 day public comment period, for the project, list took place May 1- May 31 of 2017 and staff did not receive any public comments.



Agenda Item No. 7

Item Title: Passenger ferry tolling resolution

Item Summary: Session Law 2012-84 requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Rural Planning Organizations to develop a project list for highway, bicycle/pedestrian, public transit, aviation, rail and ferry projects to be scored

Specific action requested: Consideration of passenger ferry tolling resolution

Number of attachments: 1

Director of Facilities Management Division & Multimodal Special Projects Engineer, Sterling Baker, requested the following resolution be considered by the ARPO RTCC and RTAC Boards. While the tolls have been approved by the Board of Transportation, they would like the endorsement of the RPO's that cover the route, in our case ferry, to be tolled. Sterling Baker will be available to discuss this agenda item.

NCDOT FERRY DIVISION

As of 6/26/2017

One - way rates reflected

ROUTE	TYPE	STATUS	Pedestrian	Bicycle	Motorcycle	<20'			20'<40'		40' +	
						Single	Double	Triple	Double	Triple		
Hatteras - Ocracoke	Passenger	New spring 2018	7.5	10								
Ocracoke - Swan Quarter	Vehicle	Year round	1	3	10	15		30		45		
Ocracoke - Cedar Island	Vehicle	Year round	1	3	10	15		30		45		
Southport - Fort Fisher	Vehicle	Year round	1	2	3	5	7	10	14	15	28	
Currituck - Kotts Island	Vehicle	Year round	0	0	0	0		0		0		
Hatteras Inlet	Vehicle	Year round	0	0	0	0		0		0		
Bayview - Aurora	Vehicle	Year round	0	0	0	0		0		0		
Cherry Branch - Minnesott	Vehicle	Year round	0	0	0	0		0		0		
Stumpy Point - Rodanthe	Vehicle	Emergency Only	0	0	0	0		0		0		

Existing Rates
Rates changes proposed

Bicycle fee addresses fee for rider.

Commuter Pass Rates

Single	\$150.00
Double	\$200.00
Triple	\$250.00

Quick evaluation of proposed rate changes

		Singles	Doubles	Triples
Southport - Fort Fisher	Counts for 7/15-6/16	197,592	1,340	360
	at Existing Rates	987,960	13,400	5,400
	at Proposed Rates	1,383,144	18,760	10,080
	Change	395,184	5,360	4,680

This does not take into consideration vehicles traveling under commuter pass.

**A RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF ESTABLISHING TOLLS ON THE
HATTERAS-OCRACOCKE PASSENGER FERRY**



Albemarle Rural Planning
Organization

PO Box 646
Hertford, NC 27944

(252) 426-5775
FAX (252) 426-8482

www.albemarlecommission.org

§

Officers

Lloyd E. Griffin, III
TAC Chairman

Leroy Spivey
TAC Vice-Chairman

Rhett White
TCC Chairman

Dan Porter
TCC Vice-Chairman

§

Proudly serving Camden,
Chowan, Currituck, Dare, Gates,
Hyde, Pasquotank, Perquimans,
Tyrrell, and Washington
Counties

WHEREAS, the Rural Transportation Advisory Committee (RTAC) is the duly recognized transportation planning policy board for the Albemarle Rural Planning Organization (ARPO); and

WHEREAS, in 2013, the North Carolina General Assembly mandated that new ferry acquisitions be funded through the Strategic Transportation Investments law or by revenue raising initiatives such as tolling, advertising, and/or concessions; and

WHEREAS, in 2015, the North Carolina Department of Transportation conducted a passenger ferry feasibility study to determine whether passenger-only ferry service would ease congestion on the Hatteras-Ocracoke route; and

WHEREAS, the report on the Hatteras-Ocracoke passenger ferry feasibility study recommended two 100-passenger ferries making eight round-trips a day between Hatteras and Ocracoke Village, a \$15.00 round-trip toll, and a transit loop run by Hyde County; and

WHEREAS, the North Carolina Ferry Division has determined a pedestrian toll of \$7.50 one way/\$15.00 round trip and a bicyclist toll of \$10.00 one way /\$20.00 round trip are the most feasible; and

WHEREAS, the car ferry service will remain free of charge.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Albemarle RPO RTAC hereby supports the tolling of the Hatteras-Ocracoke passenger ferry service with a pedestrian toll of \$7.50 one way/\$15.00 round trip and a bicyclist toll of \$10.00 one way and \$20.00 round trip.

A motion was made by _____ and seconded by _____ for the endorsement of the resolution, and upon being put to a vote was duly adopted, on this, the 26th day of July 2017.

Lloyd E. Griffin, III Chairman
Albemarle RPO RTAC

Angela M. Welsh, Secretary
Albemarle RPO