Albemarle RPO Board Meetings

Wednesday, July 26, 2017  Dare County Administration Building, Room 238, 954 Marshall C Collins Dr. Manteo NC 27954

Technical Coordinating Committee: Starts at 11:00 AM

1. Call to Order  Rhett White, TCC Chair
2. Roll Call  Rhett White, TCC Chair
3. Agenda Approval  Rhett White, TCC Chair
4. Approval/ Adoption of Minutes from Last Meeting  Rhett White, TCC Chair
5. P 5.0 Methodology final approval
   Approval  Rhett White, TCC Chair
6. P 5.0 Project list final approval
   Approval  Rhett White, TCC Chair
7. Passenger ferry tolling resolution
   Approval  Rhett White, TCC Chair
8. Public Comments  Rhett White, TCC Chair
9. Adjournment  Rhett White, TCC Chair

Lunch and Presentations

NCDOT update
TPB update
ARPO update

Transportation Advisory Committee: Starts at 1 PM

1. Call to Order  Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair
2. Roll Call  Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair
3. Agenda Approval  Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair
4. Approval/ Adoption of Minutes from Last Meeting  Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair
5. P 5.0 Methodology final approval
   Approval  Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair
6. P 5.0 Project list final approval
   Approval  Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair
7. Passenger ferry tolling resolution
   Approval  Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair
8. Public Comments  Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair
9. Adjournment  Lloyd Griffin, TAC Chair
Minutes of the Rural Technical Coordinating Committee (RTCC) Meeting
April 26, 2017
11:00 a.m.

Call to Order
The April 26, 2017 RTCC meeting was held at the College of the Albemarle Culinary Arts Building, in Edenton, NC and was opened and called to order by RTCC Chairman Rhett White at 11:07 a.m.

Roll Call
It was determined a quorum was present with the following TCC members in attendance: Chairman Rhett White, Town of Columbia; Michael Brillhart, Camden County; Kevin Howard, Chowan County; Dan Scanlon, Currituck County; Donna Creef, Dare County; Natalie Rountree, Gates County; Bill Rich, Hyde County; Frank Heath, Perquimans County; Curtis Potter, Washington County; Andy Stewart, Town of Kitty Hawk; Joe Heard, Town of Duck; Kaitlen Alcock, City of Elizabeth City; Wes Haskett, Town of Southern Shores; J.D. Melton, Town of Creswell; Jerry Jennings, NCDOT Division 1

Agenda Approval
Chairman White called for a motion to approve the agenda. A motion to approve was made by Donna Creef and seconded by Bill Rich, and unanimously carried.

Approval of January 25, 2017 RTCC Minutes
Chairman White called for a motion to accept the minutes as presented. A motion to approve the minutes was made by Donna Creef; her motion was seconded by Bill Rich, and unanimously carried.

ARPO Mini-Grant Applications
The ARPO Director shared information regarding the Mini-Grant Application which had been received. It was reported that one mini-grant application was received from the Town of Manteo in the amount of $1,120 and one from the City of Elizabeth City, Parks & Recreation Department in the amount of $3,785. Both mini-grants application requests were for printing and folding Bike and Pedestrian Maps. It was noted that there is $16,000 available for the approval/funding of mini-grants. A motion to approve both mini-grant applications was made by Natalie Rountree; her motion was seconded by Joe Heard, and unanimously carried.

NCGA Legislation
The ARPO Director shared the North Carolina General Assembly Update. She stated that the first piece of legislation is the RTPO/Establish Attendance Policy. The ARPO Director shared staff concerns with the bill. The ARPO Director shared the second piece of legislation, Transportation Megaproject Funding. It was noted that it appears as if this will be approved by the house. After discussion, a motion was made by Bill Rich to resend the letter agreed to at the January 25, 2017 RTCC meeting to the delegation in Raleigh, in order to restate the RTCC’s position on mega project funding; his motion was seconded by Frank Heath, and unanimously carried.
The ARPO Director shared staff concerns with the third piece of legislation, STI/Regional & Division Weighting. Jerry Jennings reported that this does not have a significant impact on the Region. The ARPO Director shared concerns with the fourth piece of legislation regarding Ferry Transportation Authority. It was noted that this piece of legislation does not affect the State Ferry System. It was agreed that the ARPO Director will keep the Board informed of any legislative progress.

**Project List & Local Input Methodology**
The ARPO Director provided the Board with an explanation of the Methodology. Jerry Jennings provided the DOT perspective and how the DOT will provide more education through the process. A motion was made by Dan Scanlon to tentatively approve the Methodology; his motion was seconded by Frank Heath, and unanimously carried. The ARPO Director discussed the Project List. It was agreed that two informational meetings will be held in June, one north of the sound and one south of the sound, to further discuss the projects. A motion was made by Donna Creef to tentatively approve the project list; her motion was seconded by Bill Rich, and unanimously carried.

**Public Comments**
Chairman White opened the floor for public comments and there being no public comments closed the floor.

**Adjournment**
With no further business to discuss, Chairman White adjourned the meeting at 11:53 pm.

---

Lunch and Presentations

**NCDOT Update – Discussion/Presentation**
Malcom Fearing – Presentation
Allan Moran - Introduction
TPB Update
ARPO Update

---

Minutes of the Rural Technical Coordinating Committee (RTAC) Meeting
April 26, 2017
1:00 pm

**Call to Order**
The April 26, 2017 RTAC meeting was held at the College of the Albemarle Culinary Arts Building, in Edenton, NC and was opened and called to order by RTAC Chairman Lloyd Griffin at 12:50 pm.

**Roll Call**
It was determined a quorum was present with the following RTAC members in attendance:
Chairman Lloyd Griffin, Pasquotank County; Jeff Smith, Chowan County; Bill Sexton, Washington County; Paul Beaumont, Currituck County; Vice-Chairman Leroy Spivey, Tyrrell County; Ben
Simmons, Hyde County; Edward Muzzulin, Perquimans County; Tom White, Camden County; Malcolm Fearing, NCDOT BOT and Allan Moran, NCDOT BOT.

RTAC Non-Voting members in attendance: John Ratzenberger, Town of Nags Head; Tom Bennett, Town of Southern Shores; Lynne McClean, Town of Kitty Hawk.

Alternates in attendance: Patti Kersey, Chowan County;

**Agenda Approval**
Chairman Griffin called for a motion to approve the agenda. RPO Planner requested that a resolution in support of Alligator River Bridge/US64 project be added to the agenda. A motion to approve the agenda with the addition of the resolution was made by Bill Sexton and seconded by Jeff Smith, and unanimously carried.

**Approval of January 25, 2017 RTAC Minutes**
Chairman Griffin called for a motion to accept the minutes as presented. A motion to approve the minutes as presented was made by Tom White; his motion was seconded by Jeff Smith, and unanimously carried.

**ARPO Mini-Grant Applications**
The ARPO Director shared information regarding the Mini-Grant Application which had been received. It was reported that one mini-grant application was received from the Town of Manteo in the amount of $1,120 and one from the City of Elizabeth City, Parks & Recreation Department in the amount of $3,785. Both mini-grants application requests were for printing and folding Bike and Pedestrian Maps. It was noted that there is $16,000 available for the approval/funding of mini-grants. A motion to approve both mini-grant applications was made by Leroy Spivey; his motion was seconded by Tom White, and unanimously carried.

**NCGA Legislation**
The ARPO Director shared the North Carolina General Assembly Update. She stated that the first piece of legislation is the RTPO/Establish Attendance Policy. The ARPO Director shared staff concerns with the bill. The ARPO Director shared the second piece of legislation, Transportation Megaproject Funding. It was noted that it appears as if this will be approved by the house. It was noted that staff restate the RTAC’s position on mega-project funding, and an RPO or Division 1 individual be appointed to the working group, to the delegation in Raleigh. After discussion, a motion was made by Jeff Smith to resend the letter agreed to at the January 26, 2017 restating the RTAC’s position to the delegation in Raleigh; his motion was seconded by Tom White, and unanimously carried.

The ARPO Director shared staff concerns with the third piece of legislation, STI/Regional & Division Weighting. Jerry Jennings reported that this does not have a significant impact on the Region. The ARPO Director shared concerns with the fourth piece of legislation regarding Ferry Transportation Authority. It was noted that this piece of legislation does not affect the State Ferry System. It was noted that the ARPO Director will keep the Board informed of any legislative progress.
Project List & Local Input Methodology
The ARPO Director provided the Board with an explanation of the Methodology. Jerry Jennings provided the DOT perspective and how the DOT will provide more education through the process. A motion was made by Ben Simmons to tentatively approve the Methodology; his motion was seconded by Leroy Spivey, and unanimously carried. The ARPO Director discussed the Project List. It was agreed that two informational meetings will be held in June, one north of the sound and one south of the sound, to further discuss the projects. A motion was made by Leroy Spivey to tentatively approve the project list; his motion was seconded by Ben Simmons, and unanimously carried.

ADDITION to AGENDA – Resolution in Support of the Alligator River Bridge/US64 Project
The RTAC discussed the Alligator River Bridge and US64 project. After discussion, as well as an update and clarification regarding the entire US64 project score, and possible bridge replacement, it was decided that the RTAC would continue to monitor the traffic on US 64 through updates from the Division 1 Engineer.

Public Comments
Mr. Griffin opened the floor for public comments and there being none, closed the floor.

Adjournment
With no further business to discuss, Chairman Griffin adjourned the meeting at 1:30 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Sandra Powers
Albemarle Commission
Program Administrative Coordinator

For

Angela Welsh, Secretary
ARPO Director
Agenda Item No. 5

Item Title: Final approval of Local Input Methodology

Item Summary: Session Law 2012-84 requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Rural Planning Organizations to develop a ranking process for highway, bicycle/pedestrian, public transit, aviation, rail and ferry projects. The ranking process must be data driven and include a combination of quantitative data and qualitative and local input.

Specific action requested: Final approval of Local Input Methodology

Number of attachments: 1

The attached Local Input Methodology is the same Local Input Methodology approved by the RTAC Board in January of 2016. We are not required to change our local Input Methodology unless the RTAC Board would like to do so. At our April 26, 2016 meeting, the RTAC did not make any changes to the Local Input Methodology from January 2016 and voted to tentatively approve it.

A 30 day public comment period, for the Local Input Methodology took place on May 1- May 31 of 2017 and staff did not receive any comments.
ARPO Prioritization 5.0 Methodology
(Note: On April 26, 2017, the ARPO RTAC Board tentatively approved this Methodology which is the same Methodology used in Prioritization 4.0)

Session Law 2012-84 requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Rural Planning Organizations (MPO’s and RPO’s) to develop a ranking process for highway, bicycle/pedestrian, public transit, aviation, rail and ferry projects. The ranking process must be data driven and include a combination of quantitative data and qualitative and local input. The following process applies to all projects ranked as “regional” and “division” funding in the counties of Currituck, Camden, Gates, Pasquotank, Perquimans, Chowan, Washington, Tyrrell, Hyde and Dare. Funding levels are as defined in the 2013 Strategic Transportation Investment Law. Following is a timeline for project solicitation, project ranking process and ARPO point assignment. These dates are subject to change as we work through this process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Frame</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March- Mid-April 2017</td>
<td>Solicit new projects. If new projects exceed SPOT’s cap for new projects, the TCC will make a recommendation to the TAC at the July 26 meeting.</td>
<td>RPO Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 26, 2017</td>
<td>TAC finalizes and tentatively approves project list TAC finalizes and tentatively approves Local Input Methodology</td>
<td>TCC/TAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1- May 31</td>
<td>Project list and Local Input Methodology released for 30 day public comment period.</td>
<td>RPO Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 26, 2017</td>
<td>Public Hearing- Final approval of project list and final approval of Local Input Methodology</td>
<td>TCC/TAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2017</td>
<td>Projects entered into SPOT Online</td>
<td>RPO Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November - December 2017</td>
<td>Score Regional and Division projects based on Local Input methodology</td>
<td>RPO Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2018</td>
<td>TAC tentatively approves Regional and Division Local Input methodology project scores</td>
<td>TCC/TAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 1- March 2 2018</td>
<td>Regional and Division Local Input methodology project scores released for 30 day public comment period</td>
<td>RPO Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2018</td>
<td>TIP Unit programs Statewide projects</td>
<td>NCDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2018</td>
<td>Public Hearing- Final approval of Regional and Division Local Input Methodology project scores and assign local input points to regional projects.</td>
<td>TAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2018</td>
<td>Regional local input points entered into SPOT Online</td>
<td>RPO Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2018</td>
<td>Review regional scores with TAC and assign final Division local input points.</td>
<td>TAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2018</td>
<td>Division scores entered into SPOT Online</td>
<td>RPO Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2019</td>
<td>Draft STIP released</td>
<td>NCDOT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the months of March through Mid-April of 2017, the ARPO started soliciting projects from local government Managers and Planners who, in turn, solicited projects from organizations and the public in their respective communities.

On April 26, 2017, the results of the project solicitation will be reviewed by the Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) and then be presented to the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) for tentative approval. If new projects exceed the maximum number allowed, the TAC will choose which projects to submit based
on recommendations from NCDOT Division 1, TCC, and RPO staff. The process and point assignment
methods will also be reviewed by the TCC and presented to the TAC, for tentative approval, at their April
meeting. The methods described herein are subject to change based on the public comment process
described later in this document.

The project list and Local Input Methodology will be released for a 30 day public comment period in May of
2017 and a Public Hearing for final approval of the project list and Local Input Methodology will be held on
July 26, 2017. In August of 2017, ARPO staff will submit new projects to NCDOT through the SPOT Online
system.

In January of 2018, TAC members will meet and tentatively approve the Local Input Methodology Regional
and Division scores. The Local Input Methodology Regional and Division scores will be released for a 30 day
public comment period on February 1, 2018. In April of 2018, the TAC will hold a Public hearing for final
approval of both the Regional and Division scores and the TAC will assign local input points to Regional
projects. During this time, results of the public comment period will also be reviewed and considered by the
TAC prior to approving the final Local Input Methodology Regional and Division scores.

In August of 2018, the TAC will meet to discuss the SPOT finalized Regional scores and assign Division
local input points to projects.

In January of 2018, the NCDOT will release the Draft STIP.

Public Input process

Methodology

This methodology will be tentatively approved by the TCC and TAC at their October 2015 meeting. Once
approved by the TAC, the RPO will release the draft methodology for a 30-day public comment period. This
comment period will be advertised on the RPO website at www.albemarlecommission.org/planning/ and via
local media. The results of the public comment period will be presented to the TCC and TAC at their
January 2016 meeting where the public will also be able to submit comments. All public comments will be
documented and reasonable edits to the methodology may be made prior to TAC approval and submittal to
the SPOT office. All public comments will be documented, filed by the RPO and distributed to local entities
to consider for future prioritization processes and transportation plans. No new projects will be added to
the Prioritization 4.0 list due to the fact the NCDOT deadline for submitting new projects will have passed.

Project ranking

The RPO will present the recommended point assignments and scores of all projects to the TCC and TAC at
their March 2016 meetings. Once approved by the TAC, the RPO will release the recommended projects
and point assignments for a 30-day public comment period. This comment period will be advertised on the
RPO website www.albemarlecommission.org/planning/ and via local media. The results of the public
comment period will be presented to the TCC and TAC at their May 2016 meetings where the public will also
be able to submit comments and all public comments will be documented. In May 2016, the TAC will be
asked to approve the project list and final point assignments. Once complete, the list and points
assignments will be available on the RPO website.

Ranking Process

Division level
Projects involving SR routes, bicycle and pedestrian transportation, transit, airports and ferry vessels are
evaluated at the Division level. The Albemarle Rural Planning Organization receives 1300 local allocation
points at the Division level. Once all projects are scored using the methodology described below, the ARPO
staff will develop a ranked list of projects within each county and within the RPO as a whole based on the
outcome of the scoring. This ranked list will be used to develop the recommended point assignments that
are presented to the public for comment and to the TCC and TAC for approval. The top scoring Division
level project, within each county will be allocated 100 points to reach the ARPO’s total allocation of 1300
points provided their quantitative score exceeds 10 points in the Division needs category. This promotes
geographic equity of projects. In the event that any counties do not have at least one Division level project, which meets the criteria above, one project from each mode which quantitative score exceeds 10 points in the Division needs category will be selected from the list of remaining projects within the RPO as a whole in order to reach the ARPO's allocation of 1300 points. Projects will be selected in the following order, Highway, Aviation, Ferry, Transit and Bike and Ped. Should two or more projects of the same or different modes tie, the Strategic Prioritization Office of Transportation (SPOT) score will be used as the tie-breaker. Since funding in the Division category is limited, Statewide or Regional projects, that cost more than $20,000,000, that cascade down to the Division level will not be considered for Division qualitative points.

### Division Level (Highways and ferries)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>0 points</th>
<th>5 points</th>
<th>10 points</th>
<th>15 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety score</strong></td>
<td>0-25</td>
<td>26-49</td>
<td>50-74</td>
<td>75-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation based on the crash frequency and severity along sections of a particular roadway. This score is generated in the quantitative scoring process.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation Plan consistency</strong></td>
<td>Project is not in STIP, CTP, or other locally adopted plan</td>
<td>Project will be incorporated into CTP or other locally adopted plan.</td>
<td>Project is in STIP, CTP, or other locally adopted plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the proposed project part of an existing, or proposed, adopted Plan?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic Development/Employment access</strong></td>
<td>Provides access within a 20 mile radius of an existing or proposed employment center* with 20 or more employees.</td>
<td>Provides access within a 15 mile radius of an existing or proposed employment center* with 100 or more employees.</td>
<td>Provides access within a 10 mile radius of an active industrial/business park or proposed new employment center* with more than 100 employees.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the project provide direct connection to a downtown district, business district, government center, educational center healthcare center, and prison, military base or agricultural center? Must meet both distance and employment criteria to be eligible to receive points.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multimodal elements</strong></td>
<td>Project does not incorporate or connect to facilities of another mode</td>
<td>Project incorporates or connects to facilities of another mode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the project incorporate other modes of transportation (a sidewalk along a road etc.)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing deficiency</strong></td>
<td>Existing facility/service available</td>
<td>Existing facility/service available, but contains gap with lower level of service/intermittent service</td>
<td>No existing facility/service available.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the project address an existing gap in the transportation system?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roadway and shoulder width</strong></td>
<td>Currently exceeds NCDOT minimum standards</td>
<td>Currently meets NCDOT standards</td>
<td>Currently does not meet NCDOT standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the current condition not meet, meet or exceed NCDOT minimum standards?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evacuation</strong></td>
<td>The project is not an official NCDOT evacuation route</td>
<td>The project is an official NCDOT evacuation route</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the project part of an official NCDOT evacuation route?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*An employment center is defined as a downtown district, business district, government center, educational center healthcare center, prison, military base, or agricultural center.
Division Level (bicycle and pedestrian transportation, transit, aviation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>0 points</th>
<th>5 points</th>
<th>15 points</th>
<th>25 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Plan consistency</td>
<td>Project is not in STIP, CTP, LCP, CTSP, ALP or other locally adopted plan</td>
<td>Project will be incorporated into CTP or other locally adopted plan</td>
<td>Project is in STIP, CTP, LCP, CTSP, ALP or other locally adopted plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development/Employment access</td>
<td>Provides access within a 3 mile radius of a proposed or existing employment center* with more than 20 employees.</td>
<td>Provides access within a 2 mile radius of an existing or proposed employment center* with more than 100 employees.</td>
<td>Provides direct access within 1 mile radius of an active industrial/business park or proposed new employment center* with more than 100 employees.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimodal elements</td>
<td>Project does not incorporate or connect to facilities of another mode</td>
<td>Project incorporates or connects to facilities of another mode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing deficiency</td>
<td>Existing facility/service available</td>
<td>Existing facility/service available, but contains gap with lower level of service/intermittent service</td>
<td>No existing facility/service available.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*An employment center is defined as a downtown district, business district, government center, educational center, healthcare center, prison, military base or agricultural center.

Regional level (NC Routes)

Projects involving NC routes are evaluated at the Regional level and the Albemarle Rural Planning Organization also receives 1300 points for these projects. Once all projects are scored using the methodology described below, the ARPO staff will develop a ranked list of projects within each county and within the RPO as a whole based on the outcome of the criteria below. This ranked list will be used to develop the recommended point assignments that are presented to the public for comment and to the TCC and TAC for approval. The top scoring Division level project, within each county will be allocated 100 points to reach the ARPO’s total allocation of 1300 points provided their quantitative score exceeds 10 points in the Regional needs category. This promotes geographic equity of projects. In the event that any counties do not have at least one Regional level project, which meets the criteria above, one project from each mode which quantitative score exceeds 10 points in the Regional needs category will be selected from the top of the list of remaining projects within the RPO as a whole in order to reach the ARPO’s allocation of 1300 points. Should two or more projects of the same or different modes tie, the Strategic Prioritization Office of Transportation (SPOT) score will be used as the tie-breaker. Since funding in the Division category is limited, Statewide or Regional projects, that cost over 20,000,000, that cascade down to the Division level will not be considered for Division qualitative points.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>0 points</th>
<th>5 points</th>
<th>10 points</th>
<th>15 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety score</td>
<td>0-25</td>
<td>26-49</td>
<td>50-74</td>
<td>75-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation based on the crash frequency and severity along sections of a particular roadway. This score is generated in the quantitative scoring process.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Plan consistency</td>
<td>Project is not in STIP, CTP, or other locally adopted plan</td>
<td>Project will be incorporated into CTP or other locally adopted plan.</td>
<td>Project is in STIP, CTP, or other locally adopted plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the proposed project part of an existing, or proposed, adopted Plan?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development/ Employment access</td>
<td>Provides direct access within a 20 mile radius of an existing or proposed employment center* with more than 20 employees.</td>
<td>Provides direct access within a 15 mile radius of an existing or proposed employment center* with more than 100 employees.</td>
<td>Provides direct access within a 10 mile radius of an active industrial/business park or proposed new employment center* with more than 100 employees.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the project provide connection to a downtown district, business district, government center, educational center healthcare center, military base, prison, or agricultural center? Must meet both distance and employment criteria to be eligible to receive points.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimodal elements</td>
<td>Project does not incorporate or connect to facilities of another mode</td>
<td>Project incorporates or connects to facilities of another mode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the project incorporate other modes of transportation (a sidewalk along a road etc.)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing deficiency</td>
<td>Existing facility/service available</td>
<td>Existing facility/service available, but contains gap with lower level of service/intermittent service</td>
<td>No existing facility/service available,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the project address an existing gap in the transportation system?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway and shoulder width</td>
<td>Currently exceeds NCDOT minimum standards</td>
<td>Currently meets NCDOT standards</td>
<td>Currently does not meet NCDOT standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the current condition not meet, meet or exceed NCDOT minimum standards?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evacuation</td>
<td>The project is not an official NCDOT evacuation route</td>
<td>The project is an official NCDOT evacuation route</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the project part of an official NCDOT evacuation route?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*An employment center is defined as a downtown district, business district, government center, educational center healthcare center, prison, military base, or agricultural center.
Any justification/rationale for local point assignment deviation from the TAC adopted and SPOT office approved Methodology by the TAC will be posted on the ARPO website for public inspection. Special consideration to deviate from the approved Methodology includes, but is not limited to, projects not being competitive in their respective categories, projects that are not far enough along in the planning process to warrant funding, projects that have strong local government support, and projects that have strong public support. Any deviation from the approved Methodology must be agreed upon by a majority of TCC and TAC members as outlined in the ARPO Bylaws. This allowance is envisioned as a safety net to provide local oversight to the data-driven process and to compensate for any peculiar scores where the prioritization methodology fails to operate as expected. Any local point assignment deviation from the methodology will be fully disclosed to the public and reason(s) why placed on the RPO website.
Item Title: Tentative approval of project list

Item Summary: Session Law 2012-84 requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Rural Planning Organizations to develop a project list of all highway, bicycle/pedestrian, public transit, aviation, rail and ferry projects to be considered for funding.

Specific action requested: Final approval of project list

Number of attachments: 1

The P 5.0 project list is attached for your review. As directed at our April 26, 2016 meeting, staff held two workshops to discuss our projects and to add, revise, or delete them. The workshops were held in Elizabeth City and Manteo on June 8. There were two highway projects added, three bicycle and pedestrian projects were revised and one bicycle and pedestrian project added to the project list.

A 30 day public comment period, for the project list took place May 1- May 31 of 2017 and staff did not receive any public comments.
Item Title: Passenger ferry tolling resolution

Item Summary: Session Law 2012-84 requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Rural Planning Organizations to develop a project list for highway, bicycle/pedestrian, public transit, aviation, rail and ferry projects to be scored.

Specific action requested: Consideration of passenger ferry tolling resolution

Number of attachments: 1

Director of Facilities Management Division & Multimodal Special Projects Engineer, Sterling Baker, requested the following resolution be considered by the ARPO RTCC and RTAC Boards. While the tolls have been approved by the Board of Transportation, they would like the endorsement of the RPO’s that cover the route, in our case ferry, to be tolled. Sterling Baker will be available to discuss this agenda item.
### NCDOT FERRY DIVISION

**As of 6/26/2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUTE</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>Pedestrian</th>
<th>Bicycle</th>
<th>Motorcycle</th>
<th>Single</th>
<th>Double</th>
<th>Triple</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hatteras - Ocracoke</td>
<td>Passenger</td>
<td>New spring</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocracoke - Swan Quarter</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>Year round</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocracoke - Cedar Island</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>Year round</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southport - Fort Fisher</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>Year round</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currituck - Knotts Island</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>Year round</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatteras Inlet</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>Year round</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayview - Aurora</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>Year round</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherry Branch - Minnesott</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>Year round</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stumpy Point - Rodanthe</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>Emergency Only</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Existing Rates

Bicycle fee addresses fee for rider.

#### Commuter Pass Rates

- Single: $150.00
- Double: $200.00
- Triple: $250.00

#### Quick evaluation of proposed rate changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUTE</th>
<th>Counts for 7/15-6/16</th>
<th>Singles</th>
<th>Doubles</th>
<th>Triples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>at Existing Rates</td>
<td>987,960</td>
<td>13,400</td>
<td>5,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>at Proposed Rates</td>
<td>1,383,144</td>
<td>18,760</td>
<td>10,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>395,184</td>
<td>5,360</td>
<td>4,680</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This does not take into consideration vehicles traveling under commuter pass.
A RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF ESTABLISHING TOLLS ON THE HATTERAS-OCRACOKE PASSENGER FERRY

WHEREAS, the Rural Transportation Advisory Committee (RTAC) is the duly recognized transportation planning policy board for the Albemarle Rural Planning Organization (ARPO); and

WHEREAS, in 2013, the North Carolina General Assembly mandated that new ferry acquisitions be funded through the Strategic Transportation Investments law or by revenue raising initiatives such as tolling, advertising, and/or concessions; and

WHEREAS, in 2015, the North Carolina Department of Transportation conducted a passenger ferry feasibility study to determine whether passenger-only ferry service would ease congestion on the Hatteras-Ocracoke route; and

WHEREAS, the report on the Hatteras-Ocracoke passenger ferry feasibility study recommended two 100-passenger ferries making eight round-trips a day between Hatteras and Ocracoke Village, a $15.00 round-trip toll, and a transit loop run by Hyde County; and

WHEREAS, the North Carolina Ferry Division has determined a pedestrian toll of $7.50 one way/$15.00 round trip and a bicyclist toll of $10.00 one way /$20.00 round trip are the most feasible; and

WHEREAS, the car ferry service will remain free of charge.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Albemarle RPO RTAC hereby supports the tolling of the Hatteras-Ocracoke passenger ferry service with a pedestrian toll of $7.50 one way/$15.00 round trip and a bicyclist toll of $10.00 one way and $20.00 round trip.

A motion was made by ________ and seconded by ________ for the endorsement of the resolution, and upon being put to a vote was duly adopted, on this, the 26th day of July 2017.

__________________________  __________________________
Lloyd E. Griffin, III Chairman         Angela M. Welsh, Secretary
Albemarle RPO RTAC  Albemarle RPO